PTO/SB/08a (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (**Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99**)		
	Application Number	10696416
	Filing Date	2003-10-28
	First Named Inventor	VERMA
	Art Unit	2161
	Examiner Name	Monica M. Pyo
	Attorney Docket Number	3222-005

U.S.PATENTS — Remove

Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code[1]	Issue Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
	1					

If you wish to add additional U.S. Patent citation information please click the Add button. **Add**

U.S.PATENT APPLICATION PUBLICATIONS — Remove

Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Code[1]	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
	1					

If you wish to add additional U.S. Published Application citation information please click the Add button. **Add**

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS — Remove

Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Foreign Document Number[3]	Country Code[2] i	Kind Code[4]	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T[5]
	1							☐

If you wish to add additional Foreign Patent Document citation information please click the Add button **Add**

NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS — Remove

Examiner Initials*	Cite No	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc), date, pages(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T[5]

		INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	

		Application Number	10696416
		Filing Date	2003-10-28
		First Named Inventor	VERMA
		Art Unit	2161
		Examiner Name	Monica M. Pyo
		Attorney Docket Number	3222-005

	1	Bernstein, P.A., et al., "Concurrency Control and Recovery in Database Systems; Multiversion Concurrency Control," Concurrency Control and Recovery in Database Systems, Addison-Wesley, pages 143-166, 1987.	☐
	2	Anonymous, "Bonus C for Dummies Lesson 17-6 – Sorting a Structure," Internet Article, Online! 2002, pgs. 1-3. www. c-for-dummies.com/lessons/chapter.17/06. Retrieved on 12-21-2004.	☐
	3	Mohan, C., et al., "Efficient and Flexible Methods for Transient Versioning of Records to Avoid Locking by Read-Only Transaction," Proceedings of the ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data, pages 124-133, San Diego, June 2-5, 1992.	☐
	4	Greenwald et al., "Oracle Essentials: Oracle 8 & Oracle 8i – Chapter 7, Multiuser Concurrency," Internet article, Online! October 1999, pages 1-7. www.cs.wisc.edu/{cs764-1/oracle.locking.html. Retrieved on 12-23-2004.	☐
	5	Molesky et al. " Recovery Protocols for Shared memory Database Systems"; Association of Computing Machinery; 1995; pages 11-22.	☐
	6	Agrawal, D, Krishnaswamy, V. "Using multiversioning data for non-interfering execution of write-only transactions", SIGMOD Conference 91, 98-107.	☐
	7	Ahuja, M., Browne, J., "Performance Evaluation of Two Concurrency control Protocols for distributed data bases with multi-versioned entities", IEEE 1988, 426-436	☐
	8	Bayer, R., Heller H., Reiser, A.,"Parallelism and Recovery in Database", Transactions on Database Systems, Vol. 5, No. 2, pp. 139-156, June 1980	☐
	9	Bernstein, P. and Goodman, N., "Multiversion Concurrency Control – Theory and Algorithms", ACM Transactions and Database Systems, Vol. 8, No. 4, pp 465-483, December 1983.	☐
	10	Berenson, et al.,"A critique of ANSI SQL Isolation Levels, SIGMOD, San Jose, California 1995	☐
	11	Bober, P., Carey, M. "On Mixing Queries and Transactions via Multiversion Locking", ICDE Madison, Wisconsin, 1992: 535-545.	☐

		INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	

Application Number	10696416
Filing Date	2003-10-28
First Named Inventor	VERMA
Art Unit	2161
Examiner Name	Monica M. Pyo
Attorney Docket Number	3222-005

	12	Bober, P., Carey, M., "Indexing for multiversion locking: alternatives an performance evaluation", IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, Vol. 9, No. 1, Jan-Feb 1997.	☐
	13	Chan, A. et al, "The Implementation of an Integrated Concurrency Control and Recovery Scheme", Proceedings of the Association for Computing Machinery SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Date, Orlando, Florida, Jun. 1982	☐
	14	Lomet, D., MLR: A Recovery Method for Multi-level systems, ACM SIGMOS 1992	☐
	15	Kempster, T. et al., Diluting ACID, SIGMOD Record, Vol. 28, No. 4, Dec. 1999.	☐
	16	Lamport, L., On Interprocess Communication. Part I: Basic Formalism and Part II: Algorithms, Distributed Computing 1 (2): 77-101, 1986	☐
	17	Lomet, D., et al. "Access methods for multiversion data", D. Lomet, B. Salzberg, SIGMOD Conference 1989; 315-324,	☐
	18	Mohan, C., "Commit_LSN: A novel and simple method for reducing locking and latching in transaction processing systems", 16th VLDB Conference (Brisbane, Australia), August 1990	☐
	19	Mohan,C., Pirahesh, H., Lorie, R., "Efficient Flexible Methods for Transient Versioning of records to avoid locking by read-only transactions", ACM SIGMOD 1992	☐
	20	Oracle 9i Database concepts, Chapter 22, Date Concurrency and Consistency, on-line documentation at http://doc.oracle.com, July 2001.	☐
	21	Bober, P., Carey, M., "Multiversion Query Locking", P. Bober, M. Carey, Proceedings of the 18th VLDB Conference (Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada) 1992.	☐
	22	Reed, D., PhD Thesis, "Naming and Synchronization in a Decentralized Computer System", Technical Report MIT/LCS TR-205, Massachusettes Institute of Technology, pp 1-181, September 1978	☐

	23	Robinson, J. et al., "Elimination of Lock Contention and Relational Databases Accessed by Read-Only Queries and On-Line Update Transactions", IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, pp. 180-185, Jun. 1988.	☐
	24	Stearns, Richard E. et al., "Distributed Database Concurrency Controls Using Before-Values", Proceedings of the Association for Computing Machinery SIGMOD International Conference on management of Data, Ann Arbor, Michigan, pp. 74-83, April 1981	☐
	25	"Times-Ten Team: In-Memory Data Management for Consumer Transactions The Times Then Approach", SIGMOD conference, Philadelphia, PA, pp. 528-529, 1999	☐
	26	Weikum, G., A theoretical foundation of multi-level concurrency control, Proc. Of ACM PODS Conf., March 1986.	☐
	27	Weikum G., Principles and realizations strategies of multilevel transaction management, ACM Transactions and Database Systems, Vol. 16, No. 1, pp. 132-180, March 1991	☐

If you wish to add additional non-patent literature document citation information please click the Add button [Add]

EXAMINER SIGNATURE

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through a citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

[1] See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.USPTO.GOV or MPEP 901.04. [2] Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). [3] For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. [4] Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. [5] Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	Application Number	10696416
	Filing Date	2003-10-28
	First Named Inventor	VERMA
	Art Unit	2161
	Examiner Name	Monica M. Pyo
	Attorney Docket Number	3222-005

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

Please see 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 to make the appropriate selection(s):

☐ That each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(1).

OR

☐ That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(2).

☐ See attached certification statement.

☒ Fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17 (p) has been submitted herewith.

☐ None

SIGNATURE

A signature of the applicant or representative is required in accordance with CFR 1.33, 10.18. Please see CFR 1.4(d) for the form of the signature.

Signature	/Stephen S. Ford/	Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	2007-03-05
Name/Print	Stephen S. Ford	Registration Number	35139

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Freedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these record s.

2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.

3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.

4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).

5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.

6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).

7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.

8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspections or an issued patent.

9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.